IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al.,

Plaintiffs

v.

Case No.1:96CV01285 (RCL)

GALE NORTON, Secretary

Defendants.

NOTICE OF RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION

To: J. Christopher Kohn United States Department of Justice Civil Division 1100 L Street, NW, Room 10036 Washington, DC 20005

Attorneys for Defendants

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure plaintiffs' will take the deposition of the Mineral Management Service ("MMS") of the Department of the Interior on **May 10, 2005**. The deposition shall commence at 9:30 am at plaintiffs counsel's offices, the Native American Rights Fund, 1712 N Street, NW, Washington D.C. 20036, and shall continue on consecutive days until completed.

On or before May 5, 2005, the Interior defendants shall provide to plaintiffs the name, title, and grade level of each deponent who has actual knowledge of the facts and who is most qualified to testify on the matters stated below. At such time, the Interior defendants shall also disclose the specific matters that each such designated deponent will testify to. Further, the Interior deponents shall produce for deposition at the time and place specified by plaintiffs the deponent or deponents who are most qualified to testify on the following matters:

(1) The adequacy of security of information technology systems and applications, from

1984 through March 31, 2005, owned, controlled, or operated by MMS ("MMS IT Systems") that house or access Individual Indian Trust Data ("Trust Data").

(2) The adequacy of security of information technology systems and applications, from 1984 through March 31, 2005, owned, controlled, or operated by third parties that house Trust Data or interface with and access MMS IT Systems that house or access Trust Data ("Third-Party Systems"). Such Third-Party systems shall include without limitation systems owned, controlled, or operated by agents, contractors, corporations, state governments, agencies of states, agencies of the federal government, and Tribes under contract, compact, or cooperative agreement, whether or not such systems are under the control of MMS.

(3) Any and all steps taken to assess the risk of, and correct deficiencies and vulnerabilities in, the security of MMS IT Systems and applications that house or access Trust Data from 1984 through March 31, 2005.

(4) Any and all steps taken to assess the risk of, and correct deficiencies and vulnerabilities in, the security of Third-Party IT Systems and applications from 1984 through March 31, 2005.

(5) Any and all steps taken to undertake a certification and accreditation process for each IT System referenced in ¶¶ 1-2 above since December 5, 2001.

(6) Any and all steps taken to undertake a certification and accreditation process for each IT System referenced in ¶¶ 1-2 above from 1984 through December 5, 2001.

(7) Each material deficiency or vulnerability identified in the security of each IT System and application referenced in $\P\P$ 1-2 above from December 5, 2001 through March 31, 2005.

(8) The trustworthiness of each IT System and application referenced in ¶¶ 1-2 above from 1984 through December 5, 2001and the nature, scope, and location of documentation related thereto.

(9) The trustworthiness of each IT System and application referenced in ¶¶ 1-2 above from December 5, 2001 through March 31, 2005 and the nature, scope documentation related

thereto.

(10) The integrity of Trust Data housed in or accessed by each IT System referenced in ¶¶ 1-2 above and the impact of identified security deficiencies and vulnerabilities on the integrity of Trust Data housed in or accessed by each such system from 1984 through June 10, 1996.

(11) The integrity of Trust Data housed in or accessed by each IT System referenced in ¶¶
1-2 above and the impact of identified security deficiencies and vulnerabilities on the integrity of Trust Data housed in or accessed by each such system from June 10, 1996 through December 5, 2001.

(12) The integrity of Trust Data housed in or accessed by each IT System referenced in $\P\P$ 1-2 above and the impact of identified security deficiencies and vulnerabilities on the integrity of Trust Data housed in or accessed by each such system from December 5, 2001 through March 31, 2005.

(13) The process and procedures developed and implemented to ensure the preservation of all Trust Data housed in or accessed by each IT System referenced in $\P\P$ 1-2 above, including without limitation all Trust Data created or stored in any electronic format since June 10, 1996.

Testimony will be recorded by stenographic means.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Dennis Gingold

DENNIS M. GINGOLD D.C. Bar No. 417748 P.O. Box 14464 Washington, D.C. 20044-4464 202 824-1448

/s/ Keith Harper

KEITH HARPER D.C. Bar No. 451956 Native American Rights Fund 1712 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-2976 202 785-4166

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Native American Rights Fund

Of Counsel:

1506 Broadway Boulder, Colorado 80302 303-447-8760

JOHN ECHOHAWK

April 13, 2005